

**MINUTES**  
**Goshen City Council**  
**June 11, 2024 at 6:30PM**  
**Goshen Community Building, 244 Clark Street**

**Call to Order:** Mayor Stroud called the meeting to order at 6:30PM.

**Roll Call:** Paula Anderson, Colton Martin, John Vaillancourt, Birch Wright were present. Shawn Mayfield and Max Poye were absent. Attorney Brian Hogue and Recorder/Treasurer Marjorie Vaillancourt were present.

**Approval of Agenda:** John Vaillancourt motion to approve agenda as written. Seconded by Birch Wright. All in favor? Yay (4). Opposed? (0). The motion carried.

**Public Input:** None offered.

**Approval of Minutes:** May 14, 2024 minutes. John Vaillancourt motioned to approve May minutes as written. Seconded by Colton Martin. All in favor? Yay (4). Opposed? (0). The motion carried.

**Approval of Financial Report:** Mayor Stroud presented. Balances as May 31, 2024 are General Fund \$697,008.73. Street Fund \$272,011.24. 988 Act \$3,316.51. Certificates of Deposit \$1,015,247.68  
John Vaillancourt motioned to approve the financial report for May 2024. Seconded by Paula Anderson. All in favor? Yay (4). Opposed? (0) The motion carried.

**Reports for May 2024:**

- A. Police Department Report:** Report is in Council's packet. Chief Travis reported. Mr. Padula contacted me about an email conversation that he'd had with our prosecuting attorney about allowing us to basically work traffic inside of a private subdivision. He's got something to give to you guys so you can read over it. Dan Padula: This is just a copy of the email conversation I'm having. It's going to be a soft copy. You don't have to read this. Now, this is just a summary of the conversation we had. It kind of hits the bullet points, I thought you may like to see it. Again, I'll have to send you the digital copy if you'd like to see it. Chief Travis: I think the premise is that Matt Durrett, said that if the city and the HOA could come to some kind of agreement that we could run traffic inside of a private subdivision on their private streets. I have a few questions about that myself, just because of certain things that I think could possibly happen, but I'll let him talk to you guys about what he knows. John: What you're saying is each individual neighborhood would have to come to an agreement as to approve it by a vote of the residents in that neighborhood. Chief Travis: That would be a question for Brian. We're both in limbo on how this could work. John: Before it could even be allowed for the city of Goshen to approve for the police department. Chief Travis: Yes, they would have to draft something up and bring it to you guys. That's the only thing that I know. John: Every single neighborhood is a separate deal? Chief Travis: Yes, absolutely. In the email, he states the HOA would have to come to an agreement with the city. Mayor: Do the HOAs have to speak them as signs? John: Yes, but they're not all the same either. Chief Travis: This is new territory to me. John: All I can say is that we all moved into a neighborhood such as Waterford because that's what we want. Dan Padula: Good evening, Chief. Good evening to all of you. As always, thanks for donating your time to the city. So just

to answer your, obviously, super immediate concern, this will be neighborhood specific. So, this proposal is one that probably must pass about five or six critical stops before we even get to the details. It was my intent to just bring to you the highest-level bullet points of this effort just to get a feel on the city council and there, let's say, highest level takes on this without hearing the details. Because even if you guys and ladies said, we don't absolutely hate it, then you would certainly say we need to see it played. And I understand that. But if you say we hate it, we don't want to hear your plan, we're done with it, then I won't waste any more time on it. So that's my point here tonight. So, in Riverside Estates, which is not Waterford, we all know. I'm not sure if you've been there. We have two streets, just two drag strips. And we have a problem with speeding. We have vehicles that speed up to 50 miles an hour down our drag strip. Know this because I have radars. I have police radars. And I know a little bit about law enforcement, too. No one can do anything about this issue from the legal level at this point, short of an Arkansas criminal statute being violated, which would allow the police to come into the neighborhood like reckless driving or something like that. The problem with that is you can't call the Chief, I saw a reckless operation in my neighborhood, and he's going to say, is it happening right now? Dan: No, it's not. So that dies. I'm aware, we are aware, that we have a lot of potential avenues to go towards for speed enforcement. And I'm trying to dissect each one and see which makes sense. The best for the neighborhood would be that we can have law enforcement in the neighborhood, because anything short of that doesn't really have teeth. And if it doesn't have teeth, nothing gets done. We're looking into things like speed bumps, like private radar, speed limit radar signs, and the legality of that. So, we're looking into all the options. But this is what's on the table today, the possibility of getting our local law enforcement in the neighborhood to run traffic. The chief and I are both opinions, at least up until yesterday, that it's that simple. It's private roads. You can't run traffic in the neighborhood. Taking a shot, I wrote to the prosecuting attorney, and this gentleman said, I'll take the charges. That's just one critical obstacle at this point. In fact, it's number one. Number two is, Riverside State's board of directors has got to say, we want this. That's number two. Now I've got to come to you guys, and you've got to say, we want to hear more. And if any of those fail, the whole thing fails. We get past that, and what I intend to do is create a plan, and basically a case study, and try to hammer out all the legalities of the situation to make sure we can't even do this. Because even though the prosecuting attorney said, I'll take charges, we could still run into an issue in Elkins Court where the judge says, I don't care if the DA took charges. I think this isn't legal. He tosses the case, and then it's a big waste of time. We don't want to end up in that spot either. And we're not there yet. We're not even close to there yet. But we need to do something about the speed issue in our neighborhood, and if this is a viable option, I'd like to pursue it. So that's why I asked the chief if I could speak, and I presented that letter, because we're at step two now, maybe step three. Riverside State's board of directors loves the idea, so that's why I'm here, just to let you know that this is something that we're talking about, and I'm exploring and looking into. And if you absolutely hate it, I'd like to know that, because I don't want to waste any of my time. If you do hate it, if you don't absolutely hate the idea, and if Mr. Hogue doesn't think it's, let's say, immediately, clearly, as a representative of the city, or I guess when he gives guidance, says, guys, we shouldn't do this, then I'd like to know that, so that I know whether I need to move forward. So that's basically the highest level of it. That's where the effort's at right now. And that's it in a nutshell, if you have any questions. We do have speed limit signs. That's another thing. We would have to make sure, of course, that we were compliant with Arkansas State, you know, the same way that if you don't have speed limit signs out there, then I really doubt he could write traffic tickets. So, we must make sure we're compliant in that regard. And then there's other avenues of it, like, you know, the neighborhood only wants him

in there for traffic. Can he only be in there for traffic, but not, you know, writing DUIs on golf carts and that kind of stuff? So, again, there's a lot of things down the path, but we're at step two today. So that's the pitch. And it's a real problem, though, because we don't want to wait until, I know it sounds kind of cliché, but we have a drag strip, and there are presently, you know, we're having civil confrontations. I'm not trying to be theatrical, but it's happened more than once, about people trying to take the law into their own hands and stop people in the street because they have kids. And then they get into an argument, and, you know, one of these days it's going to escalate, and it could either be BP fighting or it could be, you know, weapons, or it could be somebody gets hit by a concrete truck. I mean, it seems far-fetched until it happens. So that's the situation. And if anybody has any questions at this level, I'm happy to try to answer them. John: Thank you. Mayor: Appreciate you bringing this to us. Brian: As far as what would be prosecuted and whatnot, that's the prosecutor's office call, so I can't speak on that. Mayor: What I'd like to do is reach out to all the other municipalities throughout the state because we've got listservs that we can ask, how do you guys deal with this issue in other cities, and see what they say. I think that gives us a resource as to what the other municipalities are doing on the private roads that they want to have the speed control. John: And nothing can be forced on anybody. So, each neighborhood has the choice to buy in. Paula: I personally feel that you guys are citizens just like the rest of the community, and if you need police coverage on your streets, then I think you're entitled to it. That goes as far as the legalities allow it. Birch: So, Dan, I don't hate it at all. I think that's part of being in America is a democracy. If the POA wants to do it, you get the votes to do it. We can do it legally because a lot of details work out, as you said.

- B. Street Report:** Report is in Council's packet. Mayor Stroud presented.
- C. Goshen Fire District:** Report is in Council's packet. Mayor Stroud presented.
- D. Planning Commission:** Mayor presented. We Preliminary subdivision review, Niles Allen applications at \$200. Final subdivision review Niles Allen \$200 application fee. Total for the month of May. \$400. Bringing total fees collected for the year to \$1600. Lacie: There was a special meeting June 10, 2024 for the Niles-Allens subdivision that is in the planning area on the east side of Goshen. There were some contingencies as far as moving driveways, making sure that we got an ARDOT inspection report. Just saying that they approved the driveways to make sure that all of those are put in up to standards, that they were 100 feet apart. But other than the contingencies, everything is good to go. They'll come back for a final in probably 60 days. Birch: So, it's a new neighborhood? Lacie: It's eight lots. It's where the old Conoco station was.
- E. Building Inspection Report:** J.D. DeMotte presented. Report is in Council's packet.
- F. Parks and Recreation Report:** Danielle Edwards presented. Report is in Council's packet.
- G. Arbor Board Report:** Mary Smith presented. Report is in Council's packet.

## Old City Business:

- A. ARDOT Update:** Lacie presented. Update No. 1. We've got 100% plans turned in for both the HUD projects and the ARDOT projects. The ARDOT project must go through the National Environmental Protection Agency, NEPA. The environmental assessment that was done for the HUD project has gone to ARDOT as well to prove that we've really gone through this. We've gone through a cultural assessment for HUD. And so those were given to ARDOT as well as like backup documents for the NEPA report that we're waiting on. We'll get that back in roughly 30 days. This HUD DRGR portal has proven to be very, very challenging. The first time that I uploaded the voucher last Tuesday for the \$637,200 for the 53.1 acres, it didn't upload like it was supposed to. I redid that today. I reached out to our grant coordinator, making sure that all that's going to go through for that purchase. But everything's pretty on point. Like I said, it took me a little bit of shaking Luke loose. But he's on board, and everything's on track. Birch: The report you're talking about, that will meet their intent? Shows we did our due diligence? Lacie: Yes, when I talked to Ashley today with ARDOT, Ashley, I don't see any hiccups from anything that we've got going on. The environmental review was super thorough. Ken did an amazing job on that. So, I think that we've got all our ducks in a row. This environmental review is something that we should be able to use in the future as well. We shouldn't have to have another one done for quite some time. **ARDOT Update No. 2.** Mayor Stroud presented. Colton, I think you requested speed limit as well as potentially looking at the passing lanes. And then we wanted to get a total count on that traffic study that we did. We still don't have that. So, essentially what they came back and told us was that they would change the speed. They would not change the speed at the passing lanes. And they didn't respond with anything about our traffic study. They did say that they would change the speed limit. Coming into Goshen, currently, it's 40 miles an hour. Now, it's down to 35, through the city. And then it goes to 45 before it goes back up to 55.
- B. HUD Update:** Lacie presented. Lacie reported HUD Update along with the ARDOT update. Stated in Item A.
- C. Animal Control Ordinance:** Mayor Stroud presented. City Hall made some provisions based on comments that we had here at the meetings. One of the things I looked up was the designation of how many hives you can have per acre and all of that. I took it out. I went to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. I didn't even realize it was to register your bees with Arkansas Department of Agriculture. So, I registered my bees since I was looking at it. So, but it gives you recommendations there. So, I think we should just look at those recommendations and kind of follow along with those as well. So, I changed that. And then, Paula had some great insights and tips and things, for livestock and guardian dogs. So, we made some changes to that. Tonight, will be third reading. We've made, a lot of changes I think that are good. I think that it will be more comprehensive and allow for more flexibility of things. So, I do think this is something that, at this point, since we're on our third reading. We probably should move to adopt this so that we can go ahead and start looking at capturing animals and taking care of them. Birch: One comment I think I made, was about outfitting the Explorer. There's a reason we couldn't big crate and put it back in the truck. Mayor: I have it already in our Amazon list. Birch: We don't need to outfit it to the interior of the SUV. Mayor: No. It's just a crate. Any Public comment? My name is Dina Parra. A couple of weeks ago, a pack of dogs came into my yard and got hold of one of my cats, who I ended up having euthanized. I called Washington County Animal Control. I called Goshen Police. Washington County Animal Control has no jurisdiction because it happened in the city of Goshen. And the police

can't do anything because the dogs didn't attack me. So, I'm stuck. I have absolutely no protection. I have no resources. But if Goshen had an Animal Control Division, you know, there would be an avenue. And the people responsible for these dogs could be held responsible. You know? They could be, you know, held accountable. Right now, they got nothing on them. You know? Their dogs are running stock free. And these folks don't have to do anything about it. Colton: We did pass at our last meeting an ordinance that will allow the city to be able to capture an animal and remove it from your property. But that doesn't go into effect, for two months. Paula Anderson motioned to table until next month. Seconded by John Vaillancourt. All in favor? Yay (4). Opposed? (0). The motion carried.

**D. Annexation Ordinance:** Mayor Stroud presented. Mayor: This is an ordinance for the annexation of the property that we have been talking about. This is the second reading. Paula Anderson motioned to read in title only. Seconded by John Vaillancourt.

**Roll Call Vote Yay**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye

**Roll Call Vote Nay**

None

Hogue read: "AN ORDINANCE TO THE VOTERS OF GOSHEN, ARKANSAS AND OTHER AFFECTED PERSONS THE QUESTION OF ANNEXATION TO SAID CITY OF GOSHEN CERTAIN CONTIGUOUS TERRITORY; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY."

Paula Anderson motioned to approve the reading. Seconded by John Vaillancourt.

**Roll Call Vote Yay**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye

**Roll Call Vote Nay**

None

The motion carried.

Birch: So, at what point does the emergency clause fall into the third reading? Brian: When it passes. Birch: At what point do the neighbors know about the potential annexation? Lacie: I honestly thought it was going to be adopted tonight. So, Brian decided to stop me because the publishing that must go in the paper is extremely expensive. So, I would assume that after it gets adopted, then we publish it in the paper. All the proof of publishing, the proof of the ordinance, the new map, the letter that I got from Little Rock GIS office, all that goes to the election board. Along with the legal description, all the lists of addresses that we've gotten in. Then the election board puts all of that together and sends it out to all the residents of the annex.

**E. 2024 Budget Amended Proposal:** Mayor Stroud presented. There's been some additional changes. We can go through some of those if you have questions. Paula: The only one I had a question about was the \$10,000 allowed for the watering in the park. I know there's like \$2,000 worth of fees and all of that. I just want to make sure that that was included in your \$10,000 estimate. Mayor: Yes. Birch: What's the addition for the building permits inspection. KaSeana: The \$85,000? Birch: Yes. KaSeana: that was just the same as last month. So, we ended 2023 with \$120,000. And so that's just based on how we're going now, moving forward. I expect it to be a little bit higher than that. Birch: That's revenue? Mayor: Yes. We cut that. I think when we did our finance meeting, we said we don't know exactly how many houses we're going to have. So, let's cut it less than what it was this past year because we thought we might be able to do that. And so, looking at the numbers, we're thinking we're going to be over \$85,000. Jerry: What we did on that is we looked at what the revenue was in 2023. We originally proposed lower. And as we saw where revenue was coming, then we were just bumping it up. And what we're seeing is coming in at a little bit more accurate than just taking the number and saying, this is what we did last year. Birch: Gives you some more options to add to the budget. Mayor: Also, just for clarification on this engineering from accruals, I feel like the easiest way to do that is to put it up here because it's not really a line item where it's affecting this year's actual numbers. It's a carryover. And so, we're deducting from all this carryover. So, we deduct right out of that as far as the income. We'll look at the street fund as well? We had additional access to carryover. We did some changes with roadway maintenance versus road resurfacing because we've got a lot of maintenance that needs to be done versus resurfacing. Birch: And the street signs were just more street signs? Mayor: Yes. Some of that is that we need more signs for the guys working. Because right now, we have mowers ahead. But we don't necessarily have enough of the men-at-work signs, those triangular holders for them. And there were a couple of other street signs for children in play that we needed because we only got one. We'd like to get three of those. So, there's some of those we were going to add to that as well. Birch Motioned to read in title only Resolution Adopting revised 2024 budget. Seconded by Paula Anderson. All those in favor? Yay (4). Opposed? (0). The motion carried.

Hogue Read: "RESOLUTION ADOPTING REVISED 2024 BUDGET."

Birch Wright motioned to approve the Resolution. Seconded by Paula Anderson. All in favor? Yay (4). Opposed (0). The motion carried.

### **New City Business:**

**A. PD Standard Operating Procedures:** Chief Travis presented. The main reason for the first change in the grooming and uniforms, our policy right now basically states that if anybody is on medical light duty, they're not allowed to wear their uniform, they're not allowed to carry their gun. I have a problem with that. When I was out, I basically used four weeks of sick time. Four weeks of sick time because I wasn't going to come to work without my gun, without my uniform. So, I would like to have that removed because there's stuff that we can still have guys do, and I'm not going to come to work if one of my guys needs help and I can't go help him. Simple as that. Birch: I agree totally, Jason, but I guess my question would be, maybe for you, Brian, if the light-duty restrictions prohibited lifting or whatever, would that include carrying a gun or a vest or whatever? Brian: I don't know. I mean, I'll have to dig further into it. Chief Travis: We don't have a light-duty policy. It just literally says if they're on light duty, they can't wear that. Birch: But you know, the Doctor will put you on restrictions. Chief Travis: With my restrictions, we're not lifting anything that weighs more than a milk jug. So, my firearm doesn't weigh more than a milk jug. Birch: I just want to make sure we're not

helping ourselves out for something if you get hurt because you're violating what your doctor said not to do.

Chief Travis: So, this policy was basically copy and pasted from, the municipal league's suggestions for all of that. I would ask that you're allowed to take that out. And as far as the grooming policy goes, I would also like to update as far losing goatees. There are not many departments out there now that are doing that. I mean, even Fayetteville now allows beards to an extent. I would like to take that out and change that to basically, same as my statute it must be groomed, it must be trimmed as to what's appropriate. Under the take-home policy, it also says any officer on light-duty or suspension is not authorized to do a take home duty. So even if I'm the chief of police and I'm on light-duty, I can't take a unit. We all have our own take-home units. I think that's a little ridiculous. If the guys need to use one of those units, they can go pick it up. Even if we're on vacation, we're allowed to have our patrol unit secured at our residence. So, it doesn't make any sense to me that if you're on light-duty, you can't have your vehicle secured.

Birch: So, Chief, Section 3773, that is in respect to police officers? Chief Travis: Correct. Yes, this is strictly us. This is our SOP. This has nothing to do with city staff. Also in the 73 sections, under, it says, officers shall not park their assigned take-home vehicle at any commercial, public, or private location for compensation unless approved. I would like to add unless approved by the chief of police. Because by that standard, we should not have allowed our guys to come out here and work this event for the city because they were compensated. U of A games were compensated. So, I would like to get that changed to unless approved by the chief of police. Section M, officers may use vehicles to conduct personal business within Goshen City limits. I would also like that unless approved by the chief of police. My reasoning for this is, so I've already broke this policy. I went to the hospital to break that. He was on duty, and his granddaughter went to the hospital. So, I told him, don't go home, don't go get your truck, take your unit, get to the hospital. So, for instances like that, that's why I would like to add that. The other section I would like to take out is Section C of our pursuing policy. The pursuing officer knows or has reason to believe that the fleeing vehicle is being operated by a juvenile who has committed a traffic violation misdemeanor or nonviolent felony and who is driving in such an unsafe manner that it's obvious he does not have the maturity to deal with the danger. Here's my issue with that. So, one of my guys gets in a pursuit with what they think is a juvenile, and they let that person go, and two miles down the road, they hit and kill a family who's liable. I know I couldn't live with myself.

Birch: So, you're saying take that out of the pursuit? I'm saying take that out. We already have, when the danger created by the pursuit outweighs the necessity for immediate apprehension. The officer knows the suspect's identity, knows that the suspect is wanted only for a traffic violation misdemeanor or nonviolent felony. That's in there as well. To me, in our policies, they already must call a supervisor and say, hey, can I continue this pursuit, or do I need to stop? Well, my problem is, like I said, to this point, first off, most of the time we're not going to know who's driving that car unless we happen to be right there when we see them. And if it's a juvenile driving the vehicle, they've already taken their test. They're supposed to know the laws. So how can you say that they're driving in an unsafe manner that it's obvious that they're not mature enough to do it? If they're mature enough to have a driver's license, they're mature enough to know the laws. Those are the changes, and I would like to change the SOB.

Birch: So, there's an ordinance that dictates its SOBs. Brian: There's an ordinance that you all adopted which adopted our SOPs. And now we're revising that.

**B. Ordinance Amending SOPs:** Brian Hogue presented. Brian: There's an ordinance that you all adopted which adopted our SOPs. And now we're revising that. Colton Martin I'll make a motion to allow this ordinance to be heard at this meeting. Seconded by Birch Wright.

**Roll Call Vote Y**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye

The motion carried.

Colton Martin motioned to read in title only and waive the second-third reading. And suspend the rules. Seconded by John Vaillancourt.

**Roll Call Vote N**

None

**Roll Call Vote Y**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye

The motion carried.

Hogue read: "ORDINANCE AMENDING THE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE MANUAL WHICH WAS ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO.189 AND AS AMEDNED BY ORDINANCE NO. 195."

**Roll Call Vote N**

None

Colton Martin motioned to adopt. Seconded by Birch Wright.

**Roll Call Vote Y**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye

The motion carried.

Colton Martin motioned to adopt emergency clause. Seconded by Birch Wright.

**Roll Call Vote N**

None

**Roll Call Vote Y**

Birch Wright Yes  
John Vaillancourt Yes  
Paula Anderson Yes  
Colton Martin Yes

**Absent**

**Roll Call Vote N**

None

Shawn Mayfield  
Max Poye  
The Motion carried.

- C. Fourth of July Event:** Group Discussion: Linda Moore: Perriann Sparks, Janna and I talked about something else fun to do in the city, like how we had the Christmas tree lighting. And we were, first, wondering if we could possibly do a fireworks dessert get-together here on June 30th here at the Community Center for the Community. But there were some questions with that. One, if it's too short notice, we were going to do flyers and get them in the subdivisions and around town to advertise just for dessert and fireworks show. But then we weren't sure if we were allowed to do fireworks show, the liability for that. Janna's husband, he works at the fire department, so he said that a fireman could be on call. But we weren't sure, liability-wise, if we would be allowed to do that. And then we were going to ask one of you guys to light the fireworks. Birch: What day did you say? Linda: Sunday, June 30th.
- After further discussion. Given the short time frame to get event ready. They will pass this year and look into again earlier next year. Linda: We were thinking of doing a back-to-school potluck. And we were planning that for August, and it could be a citywide potluck, and we were going to ask the city if the city could provide the meat for a barbecue for that. And we would ask citizens to bring a backpack with supplies that we could maybe donate to the food bank. I used to volunteer over there, and they do have section at the start of school time, and they just had a couple little notebooks and pencils. And the kids always went for that, and it went fast. So, we were wondering if maybe people could bring a backpack with school supplies, and we could get it over there for the kids that are coming to the food bank. That would be August 17th. We talked about a chili cook-off for the fall, and then the Christmas party again this year. Just in thinking about, I don't know how much money is left in the fund box. Mayor: I think probably close to \$7,000. Linda: That's lots of money for all this stuff. We were thinking for the back-to-school potluck for August 17th that we would probably need about \$1,000 maybe for the meat and decorations. Mayor: I can probably donate meat. Okay, then that's... maybe we need \$200 then. So, we were just thinking were thinking of pork, and we would put them in crockpots and do barbecue pulled pork sandwiches for that one. So, we'll move forward with that August 17th, and we'll be in touch with you about a chili cook-off and the tree lighting ceremony again.

**Public Input:** Birch: Dollar General's fence is fixed. Now they need to mow. Brian: Our July meeting, my daughter's volleyball team will be in Vegas for their national tournament. My brother, who would otherwise sit in, his daughter is also there. So, he won't be in town. I can find somebody else in my office to bring, or I can participate remotely. I kind of want to defer to you all to see what you would prefer that I do. I'm happy to do it. KaSeana: I can set you up at Zoom. I can have somebody here, and then I can be on the same line for them to call me if something were to come up. Mayor: It's up to you. Brian: I can be available by phone if needed. Jerry: I appreciate the council working with us on the process and how we're working with our budgets. Dan: I was hoping that somebody from the council could clarify for me where we stand on the effort to repeal the gate ordinance. I get the impression from some that it's reached a disposition, that it's concluded that we have a new process on how that effort will work, and I hear from others that it's still ongoing. So, I was hoping someone could tell me if that is finished. Or if it's not finished, if there's a next step, or if it's done, what was the outcome of the effort to repeal the gate ordinance? Brian: It's not done, Dan. Lacie: We're still in the process of redoing the subdivision regulations, which is where the gate ordinance sits. There is no appeal process yet. So, in a matter of us redoing all of those, the full process is coming through to get approved. But it's still being typed up.

Mayor: So, Lacie and I have discussed this, and our hope is to have this done later than October, but hopefully by September. And that's just because there's a lot of other things that we're doing with grants and administrative things that she physically can't do, because it just takes a lot of time to go through all of that. In redoing the zoning ordinances and the subdivision regulations, as I'm going through, I'm pulling every ordinance that has to do with each individual subject and seeing where it started, how many times it's been amended, what's been repealed, and making the corrections that I need to make as I'm going through them. So, in doing all of that, I want to make sure that literally everything is on point before it's presented. It will be presented to the planning commission. Each of the planning commissioners will have options to make notes on each of the corrections that have been made. After I go through all their notes, make the corrections that we as a team feel need to be made, then it must be presented to council. So, it's a work in progress, but it's slowly but surely. I'm like two pages from being done with the zoning ordinances. So, then I'll move on to subdivision regulations, but it's being worked on. It's not a moot subject, and there should be an option for it to come back to the table. Dan: So, it sounds like, to me at least, that there is a plan, but that there's just administrative work to be done. So, would it be accurate than to say that the plan that you intend to write into the process is that the only change in this effort is that no longer can the planning commission deny such a request, say for a gate ordinance, and if they do, that the citizen or the presenter of the proposal will have an avenue to appeal it to the city council? Lacie: Correct. Dan: Does that sound like the council's interpretation? Mayor: That's correct.

**Adjournment:**

Motion to adjourn by John Vaillancourt at 7:50 PM. Seconded by Colton Martin Adjourned at 7:50 PM.

  
**Marjorie Vaillancourt**  
Recorder/Treasurer

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Russell Stroud**  
Mayor